EEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER CF M. A. SEGALE, INC., 4 PCHB No. 78-155 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 AND CREER PUGET SCUNL AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, 3 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal from the issuance of a \$250 civil penalty for the alleged violation of Section 8.06(3) of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Chris Smith and David Akana (presiding), at an informal hearing in Seattle, Washington on September 21, 1978.

Appellant was represented by Richard R. Kammeyer, its Branch Manager; respondent was represented by its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and having considered the contentions of the parties, the Pollution Control

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Hearings Board makes these

 20°

FINDINGS OF FACT

I

Pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, respondent has filed with the Board a certified copy of its Regulation I and arendments thereto which are noticed.

Section 8.06(3) of the regulation provides that it shall be unlawful to cause or allow an outdoor fire for land clearing burning within a defined urbanized area unless respondent has verified that the average population density within 0.6 miles of the site is 2,500 persons per square mile, or less.

Section 3.29 provides for a civil penalty of up to \$250 per day for each violation of Regulation I.

ΙI

On May 25, 1978, appellant was conducting open burning of stumps and other natural vegetation resulting from land clearing near First Avenue South and the West Valley Highway in the City of Algona. The site of the fire was on or rear a burning site used earlier by other persons to burn an old residence. The site is located within an urbarized area as defined by Section 8.06(3).

Appellant had previously secured a permit for its fire from the City of Algora. The City has a population of about 2,700 persons.

III

On May 25, 1978, respondent's agent observed the instant fire. Because appellant did not possess a population density verification from respondent, a notice of violation and a \$250 civil penalty were

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

issued to appellant.

-4

Appellant has been cited for a violation of Section 8.06(3) previously and under similar circumstances. Due to the press of business, it did not appeal the penalty. Appellant was and is aware of the provisions of Section 8.06(3) of Regulation I.

ΙV

V

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι

Appellant violated Section £.06(3) of Regulation I on May 25, 1978 by failing to secure a population density verification from respondent prior to conducting its outdoor fire.

II

Section 8.01 of Regulation I allows outdoor fires on a limited basis under strict regulation and close control, and is to be administered under a one-permit system. See also RCW 70.94.745.

Appellant reasonably fulfilled its obligation to secure the necessary permits from the City of Algona. It received permission to burn and relied on its permit when burning. Although we conclude that respondent's regulation has been violated, appellant should not be required to pay the assessed penalty under the circumstances of this case.

III

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 3

1	is hereby adopted as such.
2	From these Conclusions, the Board enters this
3	ORCER
4	The \$250 civil penalty is affirmed, but payment thereof is totally
5	suspended.
6	DATED this day of October, 1978.
7	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
8	Oh: Swith
9	CHRIS SMITH, Merber
10	E-4' (ileans
11	DAVID AKANA, Member
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	•
20	
21	
22	
~ ა	
24	
25	
26	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
27	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 4